<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/522,490	COOK ET AL. Art Unit
MEREDITH PETRAVICK	3600
MEREDITH PETRAVICK	3600

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	eview filed 28 May 2008.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s):</li> </ol>	and a conference will not be held for the following
<ul> <li>☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu</li> <li>☐ The request does not include reasons why a r</li> <li>☐ A proposed amendment is included with the P</li> <li>☐ Other: .</li> </ul>	eview is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Inte held. The application remains under appeal because t is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this de running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant th 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period lever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the contain(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	slaim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.	
4. <b>⊠ Reopen Prosecution</b> – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	
(1) MEREDITH PETRAVICK /mcp/.	(3) <u>Michael Carone /mjc/</u> .
(2) <u>Troy Chambers</u> .	(4)